



Health Profession Quality Assurance
Individual Case Summary Report
As of 07/06/2007 9:57:47 AM

Printed By aee0303 07/06/2007 9:57:54 AM

Profession: Psychology License #: PY00000734 Name : Greenberg, Stuart A
Case #: 2007-07-0002 Description: Unprof - Questionable Conduct Docket #: N/A
Date Opened: 07/06/2007 Date Closed: Total Days As of 07/06/2007: 0

Current Step = Intake/Assess

Step Name	Step Start	Step End	Step Due	Auth Days	Extend Days	Days Used	Days Remain	Next Step
Intake/Assess	07/06/2007		07/27/2007	21	0	0	21	

EXAMINING BOARD OF PSYCH
aee0303
INDIVIDUAL NAME
LAST GREENBERG
FIRST STUART
MIDDLE A

ASSESSMENT SYSTEMS, INC.
REAL SYSTEM
(JR, SR, III)

07-06-07
10:06:56 AM
V2.5.
REFERENCE # PY00000734
SOC SEC NUM

+--ADDITIONAL INFORMATION--+

SEX M =	MARRIED =
OTHER NAME	
CORP. OFFICER	=
TRUST ACCOUNT	
BIRTH PLACE	
DATE 10-23-1947	
SCHOOL CODE	
CE UNITS 0.00	REQD BY 10-23-2008

RESIDENCE INFORMATION

PHONE: COUNTY: 17
() - LGL ST:

NOTES

ORDER OF DISMISSAL ISSUED SERVED 4/93-*ALL FILES SEALED*PER 7/795ORDER ON FILE

+-----+
CURRENT STATUS: A E EXPIRATION DATE: 10-23-2007 FIRST ISSUE DATE: 02-04-1981
RENEWAL STATUS: Z LAST ACTIVE DATE: - - LAST RENEWAL DATE: 09-14-2006
COMPLAINTS O/C: 0/ 6 AUTHORITY:
+-----+

CASE NUMBER	COMPLAINANT	COMPLAINT DATE	INVESTIGATOR	TYPE	STATUS
87040211		04-22-1987		25	CLOSED
87050252		05-28-1987		25	CLOSED
87050253		05-28-1987		25	CLOSED
87050254		05-28-1987		25	CLOSED
87050255		05-28-1987		25	CLOSED
93030008		03-12-1993		25	CLOSED
2002060002		06-10-2002		34	CNA3
2002080004		08-26-2002		30	CNA2
2003120005		12-08-2003		19	CNA3
2007070002		07-06-2007		34	ASSESS



Health Professions Quality Assurance

Health Practitioner Verifying

License Number	Profession Type	Status	
PY00000734	Psychology	A	
Last-Name	First-Name	Mi	Birth-Date
GREENBERG	STUART	A	10/23/1947
Expire	Last Renewal	First License	
10/23/2007	9/14/2006	2/4/1981	

PERMANENT COVER FOR WHISTLEBLOWER CASE FILES

PURSUANT TO RCW 43.70.075 it states in part; "The identity of a whistleblower who complains, in good faith, to the Department of Health about the improper quality of care by a health care provider, or in a health care facility, as defined in RCW 43.72.010, SHALL REMAIN CONFIDENTIAL..."

Therefore, it is our duty to see that the Complainant's name or any information that may identify the complainant is not disclosed. Refer to HPQA Procedure 204, RCW 43.70.075, and Chapter 246-15 WAC for guidance.

Please be careful of what and how information is discussed concerning cases and complaints.

Health Systems Quality Assurance Complaint Form

Today's Date: 7/5/07

1. Your Information

Name: Detective Kevin Grossman, Seattle Police
Address: PO Box 34986
City: Seattle State: WA Zip: 98124-4986
Phone: Work (206) 684-5495 Home () -

2. Information about the Facility or Health Care Professional

Type of facility or profession: Psychologist
Name of facility or professional: Dr. Stuart A. Greenberg
Address: _____
City: _____ State _____ Zip: _____

3. Resident/Guest/Patient Information

Full Name (if different than above) _____
Date of Birth (of patient, if complaint involves a patient) _____
Date of incident: 2007

4. Please describe your complaint in the space below. Include the name, title and phone number of other patients, witnesses or staff members involved in the incident. Email completed form to the Customer Service Center or mail to:

Washington State Department of Health
Health Professions Quality Assurance
P.O. Box 47865
Olympia WA 98504-7865.

Please attach any supporting documentation and additional sheets if necessary



SEATTLE
POLICE
DEPARTMENT

CONTINUATION SHEET

INCIDENT NUMBER	2007-269584
UNIT FILE NUMBER	

ITEM OR ENTRY	<input type="checkbox"/> INCIDENT	<input type="checkbox"/> FOLLOW-UP	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER: (specify)	PAGE 2 OF 2
	<input type="checkbox"/> INCIDENT AND ARREST	<input type="checkbox"/> TRAFFIC / COLLISION		
	<input type="checkbox"/> ARREST ONLY	<input type="checkbox"/> SUPERFORM		

observed the videotape and confirmed that it was a recording of the office bathroom. Det. Grossman viewed the videotape and saw V/A on the videotape using the bathroom. C/V gave the videotape to the detectives and it was placed into SPD evidence. Greenberg was contacted and advised of his legal rights. Greenberg was taken into custody without incident. Greenberg was interviewed, processed, and booked into KCI.

INVESTIGATING OFFICER	SERIAL	UNIT	INVESTIGATING OFFICER	SERIAL	UNIT	APPROVING OFFICER	SERIAL
E. FOSTER	5844	792				<i>[Signature]</i>	5491



Health Systems Quality Assurance Complaint Form

RECEIVED
JUL 06 2007
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
HEALTH PROFESSIONS SEC 7

Today's Date: 7/5/2007

1. Your Information

Name: _____
Address: _____
City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____
Phone: Work (_____) Home (_____)

2. Information about the Facility or Health Care Professional

Type of facility or profession: Psychologist
Name of facility or professional: Stuart A. Greenberg
Address: ~~XXXX~~ _____
City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____

3. Resident/Guest/Patient Information

Full Name (if different than above) _____
Date of Birth (of patient, if complaint involves a patient) _____
Date of incident: 7/3/2007

4. Please describe your complaint in the space below. Include the name, title and phone number of other patients, witnesses or staff members involved in the incident. Email completed form to the Customer Service Center or mail to:

Washington State Department of Health
Health Professions Quality Assurance
P.O. Box 47865
Olympia WA 98504-7865.

Please attach any supporting documentation and additional sheets if necessary

Employer (Dr. Greenberg) video-recorded staff using the bathroom at our office. Matter is being investigated by Seattle Police (incident # 07-269584.) Employees involved include myself and the following three women:

EVIDENCE

ITEM #6

(DVD OF RESPONDENT INTERVIEW
BY SPD)

RELEASED TO
D.O.H.

LEGAL ON 7/18/07

EVIDENCE

SUBMITTING RECEIPT: TEAR ALONG LINE AND RETAIN FOR YOUR RECORDS

CONTROL NUMBER:

4094968

Case #:

2007070007

Item #:

644

Description:

DVD's

Bag Sealed By:

T. HARRIS

Date Sealed:

7/18/07




000000

Department Of Licensing – IDL System

WASHINGTON DRIVER LICENSE
LIC # GREENSA534P3 EXP 10-23-2009 ♡
GREENBERG,STUART ALLEN

CDL	END	RES	
SEX	HT	WT	EYES
M	6-00	170	BRN

ISSUE DATE 01-27-2006
DOB 10-23-1947



Picture Number: GREENSA534P3
Control Number: 33060274I1347
Name: GREENBERG,STUART ALLEN
Production Status: Mailed – 01-31-2006
Issue Date: 01-27-2006
Report Date: Jul 13, 2007 8:17:00 AM

000007



Health Professions Quality Assurance, Investigation Service Unit

Memo

To: Board of Psychology

File: #2007-07-0002PY

From: Todd Terhaar, investigator

Date: 7/13/07

Re: Declaration of viewing of video of S. Greenberg Interview, by Seattle Police

Respondent: **Greenberg, Stuart**

On 7/12/07 I contacted SPD Detective Kevin Grossman at the Seattle Police Station. At that time Detective Grossman released to me a CD. Detective Grossman stated that the CD was a copy of the video taped interview of the respondent he conducted on 7/3/07. This copy was made by the SPD video Forensics Unit. I took the CD and immediately returned to the Kent Field Office where I reviewed it.

The tape shows a very good quality/clear video and audio recording the respondent being interviewed by Detective Grossman. I was also able to confirm the respondent's identity by comparing the video footage with his Driver's License photo I had obtained from D.O.L. earlier this date. Throughout the interview the respondent's voice is extremely low/quiet and barely audible. He repeatedly hangs his head and is slumped down in his chair.

At 13:20 (CD time) of the video the respondent is read his Miranda Rights, which he states he fully understands and waives. The respondent then agrees to provide a statement and consent to the interview.

At 15:00 (CD time) in the interview the respondent is asked why he had placed the hidden camera and recording equipment in the bathroom of his business? The respondent replies that he "wanted to try them out" but that he "knew that he shouldn't." The respondent goes in to describe how he set the camera up in the restroom so that he could videotape it and to see if the hidden camera worked.

At 15:25 (CD time) the respondent is asked Why he had done this? The respondent replies "I couldn't resist the temptation to see the women in my office."

#2007-07-0002PY

1
000008


At 16:00 (CD time) the respondent is asked when he installed the hidden camera and recording equipment. The replies that he placed them in the bathroom "about two weeks ago."

At 16:40 (CD time) the respondent, when describing the first time he secretly taped the female employees in the bathroom, states "I tried it once and I enjoyed it."

At 17:06 (CD time) the respondent states that he put the camera "where I could see the women without their clothes on, but I put it where I couldn't see them go to the bathroom."

When asked how many clients he sees per week in his office the respondent claimed that he "only saw may be two."

I swear and affirm under penalty of perjury in a court of law that the above statement is a true and accurate statement.

Signed 
Todd M. Terhaar, Investigator

Date 9/13/07

Terhaar, Todd (DOH)

From: Roger Friend [roger@olympicfhb.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 11, 2007 5:28 PM
To: Terhaar, Todd (DOH)
Subject: FW: apology

Roger Friend
olympic fine home builders llc.
c 206 290 6499
f 206 364 5353
e roger@olympicfhb.com

From: Stuart Greenberg
Sent: Saturday, July 07, 2007 7:28 PM
To: Roger Friend
Subject: apology

Roger

I want you to know that I am so sorry that I brought this painful experience into your life and into the lives of the others. I hold neither you, nor anyone else, any negative feelings. I wish that I could apologize to everyone in person but I am under strict instruction by my attorney to not speak about any of the events and particularly not to have contact with anyone who might be a witness. I do want you to know that I immediately gave the police a full confession for what I did and that on Friday I gave up my licenses to practice psychology.

While I would certainly understand if your answer is "no way," I want you to know that if you wish to finish the estimated two days of uncompleted construction work for which you have planned and bought materials, that would be more than fine with me as long as we are careful to not have any improper contact. I will pay you for your worked time and any time that I have caused you to lose regardless. I don't want you to be hurt any more by this. If you want to do the work at the Highland House, I will stay away completely during the work day (7:00am to 5:00pm) for the next two days and if you want to install the door at the 1222 house, just let me know what time is convenient for you and I will make sure that no one is home.

If you'd rather not do any of these things, no hard feelings. Send me a bill for all of your time and expenses; I will pay you regardless.

I imagine that, among other things, this took its toll on your vacation. For that and all of the other disappointment I have caused, I am profoundly sorry.

Stu

Stuart A. Greenberg
(206) 320-0044

000010

07/12/2007

EVIDENCE

ITEM #11

**(DVD OF RESPONDENT'S HIDDEN
CAMERA FOOTAGE/VCR, FROM
SPD)**

RELEASED TO

D.O.H.

LEGAL ON 7/18/07

Department Of Licensing – IDL System

WASHINGTON DRIVER LICENSE


LIC #

EXP 08-24-2009 ♥

CDL	END	RES	SEX	HT	WT	EYES
			F	5-07	130	GRN

ISSUE DATE 08-14-2004

DOB 08-24-1970



Picture Number:

Control Number:

Name:

Production Status: Mailed – 08-18-2004

Issue Date: 08-14-2004

Report Date: Jul 13, 2007 8:17:26 AM

000012

EVIDENCE

ITEM #13

**(CD that contains D.O.L. photos of
respondent and)**

**SEE LEFT SIDE OF
FOLDER**



Health Professions Quality Assurance, Investigation Service Unit

Memo

To: Board of Psychology

File: #2007-07-0002PY

From: Todd Terhaar, investigator

Date: 7/13/07

Re: Declaration of viewing of Bathroom/Hidden camera VCR tape review

Respondent: **Greenberg, Stuart**

On 7/12/07 I contacted SPD Detective Kevin Grossman at the Seattle Police Station. At that time Detective Grossman released to me a CD. The CD was a copy of the VCR tape SPD took from _____ and is the same tape that Roger Friend removed from the respondent's basement. This copy was made by the SPD video Forensics Unit. I took the CD and immediately returned to the Kent Field Office where I reviewed it.

The tape shows a very good quality/clear recording of a bathroom, and appears to be recording from a camera set on a shoulder high (approximate) shelf in that bathroom. The recording shows the door to the bathroom as well as the toilet area.

The tape is blank, with no one coming or going or using the bathroom, until 1:10:44 (CD time). Then you can clearly see _____ walk into the bathroom, and place what appears to be an aerosol can directly in front of the camera lens. *(I was also able to confirm _____ identity by comparing the video footage with her Driver's License photo I had obtained from D.O.L. earlier this date)* _____ then appears to use the bathroom. Had the aerosol can not been in placed by _____, it appears the camera would have recorded the entire event, to include her disrobing etc.. _____ is then seen leaving the restroom. At 1:25:25 (15 minutes later) the respondent is clearly seen coming into the restroom and immediately and deliberately moving the aerosol can away from in front of the camera giving a full/clear view of the bathroom again. At 1:25:48 (CD time) the respondent can be seen urinating into the toilet, clear and unobstructed. As he does the respondent repeatedly looks directly into the camera and appears to grin/smile multiple times.

The respondent is then seen stroking his penis rapidly with his hand, in a manner consistent with masturbation, for approximately 15 seconds. The respondent then zips up his pants, leaves, and the CD footage ends.

I swear and affirm under penalty of perjury in a court of law that the above statement is a true and accurate statement.

Signed  _____
Todd M. Tethaar, Investigator

Date 7/13/07



Health Professions Quality Assurance, Investigation Service Unit

Memo

To: Board of Psychology

From: Todd Terhaar, investigator

Date: 7/10/07

Re: Victim phone Interview

DOH Investigative file #2007-07-0002PY

Respondent: **Greenberg, Stuart A.**

On 7/10/07 I contacted and interviewed _____ via telephone.
relayed the following:

_____ holds a PHD in Psychology and currently holds valid state Psychology and Sex Offender Treatment Provider licenses. _____ has worked with the respondent for the several years on a "two days a week part time basis." On these two days _____ would come and work out of the respondent's office, located at 1222 23rd Ave, Seattle Wa.

_____ states the office has only one bathroom, which is technically for both "male or females" but that it was "only used by the females in the office" and that the respondent "always goes to his home which is next door to use the bathroom." In late June '07 the respondent installed an "Air Purifier" in the bathroom. _____ noticed the purifier being installed but thought nothing more of it at that time.

_____ states over the years she and the respondent have become friends with a contractor, Roger Friend. _____ states that Friend has done regular contract work for the office and the respondent's home for many years and that he is routinely at the office. As such, thus although he is hired to work, they all have a very friendly social relationship. _____ states that the respondent's office consisted of her, the respondent, and female employees _____) and Lorrienne Gennaios.

On 7/3/07 Friend was working on the respondent's home. Friend contacted _____ stating that on 7/2/07 he had found a VCR attached to a remote antenna in the basement of the respondent's home. Friend told _____ that he thought that the

#2007-07-0002PY

000016


respondent may have possibly been recording the bathroom with a remote/hidden camera. states prompted by this she went and checked the bathroom and was immediately drawn to the air purifier. states when she checked it closer she found that it "felt lighter then it should have been" and that it had some sort of coaxial cable coming out of a box on the back. In response she and fellow employee decided to put an aerosol can in front of the air purifier. They did this as a test to see if "if the respondent came in and moved the can" that would further suggest that he was secretly filming them in the bathroom. states that in less then half an hour of placing the can in front of the purifier the respondent came into the bathroom and moved the aerosol can.

A short time later Friend called again and told her he had returned to the respondent's home, went to the VCR and had "pushed play" to see what it was recording. Friend told that the recording was of the bathroom at the business and appeared to be from a camera hidden in the air purifier. states she told Friend to "grab the tape" and to "bring it directly to her."

states she immediately walked over, met with Friend and took the tape. then drove to an acquaintance that had a VCR. states she viewed "just a few seconds of the tape" and confirmed it was filming the business bathroom and ejected the tape. stated it was during this time that she had realized that she had used the bathroom earlier that day. in turn called Seattle Police who took custody of the tape. also gave SPD a full statement. states that she was later told by police that she was recorded on the tape, using the bathroom and that the respondent was also seen coming into the bathroom after her and "pleasuring himself." states that the respondent had given a full confession to police, was arrested, and booked into King County Jail.

states that since this incident she has heard that the respondent has "contacted the State of Alaska and Washington and has voluntarily surrendered his license."

Signed



Todd M. Terhaar, Investigator

Date

7/10/07



Health Professions Quality Assurance, Investigation Service Unit

Memo

To: Board of Psychology

File: #2007-07-0002PY

From: Todd Terhaar, investigator

Date: 7/11/07

Re: phone Interview

Respondent: Greenberg, Stuart

On 7/11/07 I contacted and interviewed [redacted] via telephone. [redacted] relayed the following:

[redacted] is a registered counselor who has worked for the respondent for "about 3 months. [redacted] states she has "always had a weird feeling" about him and that was especially true when he interacted with other female employees. Approximately 6 weeks ago she and the other employees noticed that respondent put a "weird looking humidifier like thing" in the bathroom. My [redacted] states she initially thought nothing more about the device until 7/2/07. My [redacted] states that is when she and the other females in the office were told by Roger Friend that "he suspected they were being filmed by a camera in the bathroom" inside the described humidifier like device." [redacted] states that initially she and the other assumed that there 'was no way that was true" and that "those kinds of things only happened to other people. [redacted] states that during the morning of 7/3/07, just to be sure, she and [redacted] went to the bathroom and "put an aerosol can directly in front of the device in the bathroom." They then watched as the respondent, who had been at his home, came back to the office in less then 1/2 an hour, went directly to the bathroom and moved the can away from the front of the device. The respondent then went home.

[redacted] states that by now they were extremely suspicious of the device so [redacted] into the bathroom and again put an object in front of it. [redacted] states that again the respondent showed back up and moved the object from in front of the humidifier in less then half an hour. [redacted] states that this all took place during the morning of 7/3/07. The respondent then had [redacted] and fellow employee [redacted] walk over with him to the adjacent property he had just purchased [redacted] states that the

#2007-07-0002PY

1


000018

purpose of this was so that they could walk through the building that was to be their new office space and so that they could "point out what they wanted and where to put it etc..."

states she returned to find the office completely empty and no one else there, so she immediately called [redacted] then explained to her that there had in fact been a camera recording the bathroom, the respondent had been recording them, and to meet her at the Seattle Police Station. [redacted] states she agreed and met with the police, later providing a taped statement, [redacted] states she then rode around with the police and showed them the respondent's home, address, and new home that he was preparing to move into, all of which are next to each other. [redacted] states it was during this time that she watched SPD arrest and handcuff the respondent.

states the thing that disturbs her the most about this entire incident is that not only did she use the bathroom, but every single day extremely vulnerable clients, the public, patients and their family members all used this bathroom and were obviously being recorded by the respondent. [redacted] has since been left unexpectedly unemployed and looking for work the week before she leaves out of state for a long time planned vacation. The incident has disturbed her so much that she has since obtained a lawyer and is pursuing further possible future legal action.

Signed


Todd M. Terhaar, Investigator

Date

7/11/07



Health Professions Quality Assurance, Investigation Service Unit

Memo

To: Board of Psychology

From: Todd Terhaar, investigator

Date: 7/13/07

Re: Victim phone Interview

DOH Investigative file #2007-07-0002PY

Respondent: Greenberg, Stuart A.

On 7/13/07 I contacted and interviewed _____, via telephone. _____ relayed the following:

_____ has worked for the respondent for the past 3 months as an _____ states that she has always "got a weird vibe" from the respondent who has "creeped her out." _____ states that on 7/3/07 the respondent's handyman, Roger Friend, told her and _____ that "he suspected that Dr. Greenberg might be secretly filming them in the bathroom with a secret camera." _____ states that her first thought was about the air purifier that the respondent had placed in the business bathroom, "a month or so earlier." _____ states that to "see if this was true" on 7/3/07 _____ "put an aerosol can in front of the bathroom air purifier" to see if the respondent moved it. _____ states that as soon as _____ did this the respondent "came in within minutes and moved the can away from in front of the purifier." _____ states that her and _____ were almost sure now that they were being filmed. A short time later the respondent had her and _____ walk over to his new business site, to go over the office arrangement, what they needed etc. When she returned she found _____ gone and "knew that something was up." _____ states that she immediately talked to _____ on the phone and was told about the tape _____ states she left, met with Seattle Police, and provided them with a statement.

_____ states that since the respondent's arrest he has sent her an "apology note." _____ states she has since retained an attorney and was not willing to provide a copy of this note or provide details of its contents until "after she consulted with her attorney."

Signed _____
Todd M. Terhaar, Investigator

Date 7/13/07

#2007-07-0002PY

1
000020



Health Professions Quality Assurance, Investigation Service Unit

Memo

To: Board of Psychology

From: Todd Terhaar, investigator

Date: 7/10/07

Re: SPD Detective Kevin Grossman phone Interview

DOH Investigative files #2007-07-0002PY

Respondent: **Greenberg, Stuart A.**

On 7/11/07 I contacted and interviewed original complainant Seattle Police Detective Kevin Grossman via telephone. Grossman relayed the following:

Grossman confirmed that he is the primary investigating officer into the investigation involving the respondent. Grossman confirmed that on 7/3/07 he had been contacted by and received the VCR tape, taken from the respondent's home/basement, from her. Grossman stated that after viewing the tape and interviewing and Roger Friend he obtained a search warrant for the respondent's home and business. Grossman confirmed that he had found and seized the respondent's hidden camera that he had placed in his business bathroom, disguised as a air purifier. Grossman further confirmed that he had also seized the recording equipment connected to this hidden camera from the basement of the respondent's home. Grossman also took taped statements from Roger Friend and

Grossman stated that as a result of the witness/victim statements and evidence seized the respondent was arrested. The respondent waived his Miranda rights and voluntarily provided a video taped statement. Grossman stated that the respondent fully admitted to installing the hidden camera in the business bathroom without consent and that he was recording the images for his own "pleasure." As a result the respondent was booked into King County Jail on 7/3/07 on one count of Voyeurism, a felony.

As of 7/10/07 Grossman was "just gathering all of the reports and organizing the evidence to submit to the prosecutor's office." Grossman stated that once this was done

he would forward copies of all interviews, pictures of the evidence and crime scenes etc...to DOH for our review.

Signed



Todd M. Terhaar, Investigator

Date

7/10/07